

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

SYNOPSIS, INC.,  
a Delaware Corporation,  
  
Plaintiff and  
Counter-Defendant,  
  
v.  
  
MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION,  
a Delaware Corporation,  
  
Defendant and  
Counterclaimant.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
  
AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

## PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STRIKE

Having considered the submissions of the parties, arguments of counsel, and the record as a whole, Defendant and Counter-Claimant Magma Design Automation, Inc. (“Magma”) Motion To Strike, Dismiss, And/Or Sever And Stay Synopsys’s Allegations And Affirmative Defenses, it is **HEREBY ORDERED**:

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f), paragraphs 164-233 of Synopsys's Reply, as well as Synopsys's Fourth and Fifth affirmative defenses of unclean hands and equitable estoppel, are stricken.

Date

United States District Judge  
Gregory M. Sleet

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

SYNOPSISYS, INC.,  
a Delaware Corporation,  
  
Plaintiff and  
Counter-Defendant,  
  
v.  
  
MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION,  
a Delaware Corporation,  
  
Defendant and  
Counterclaimant.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
  
AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

**PROPOSED ORDER  
GRANTING MOTION TO SEVER AND STAY AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Having considered the submissions of the parties, arguments of counsel, and the record as a whole, Defendant and Counter-Claimant Magma Design Automation, Inc. (“Magma”) Motion To Strike, Dismiss, And/Or Sever And Stay Synopsys’s Allegations And Affirmative Defenses, it is **HEREBY ORDERED**:

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(b), Synopsys's Fourth and Fifth affirmative defenses of unclean hands and equitable estoppel, and the allegations in paragraphs 164-233 of Synopsys's Reply, are severed and stayed.

Date

United States District Judge  
Gregory M. Sleet

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

SYNOPSIS, INC.,  
a Delaware Corporation,  
  
Plaintiff and  
Counter-Defendant,  
  
v.  
  
MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION,  
a Delaware Corporation,  
  
Defendant and  
Counterclaimant.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
  
AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

**PROPOSED ORDER  
GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Having considered the submissions of the parties, arguments of counsel, and the record as a whole, Defendant and Counter-Claimant Magma Design Automation, Inc. (“Magma”) Motion To Strike, Dismiss, And/Or Sever And Stay Synopsys’s Allegations And Affirmative Defenses, it is **HEREBY ORDERED**:

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), Synopsys's Fourth and Fifth affirmative defenses of unclean hands and equitable estoppel, and the allegations in paragraphs 164-233 of Synopsys's Reply, are dismissed.

Date

United States District Judge  
Gregory M. Sleet